



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,479	07/09/2001	Dane J. Hoechst	018470-9053-00	5170

23409 7590 01/08/2003

MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

YEAGLEY, DANIEL S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3611	

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SK

Offic Acti n Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/901,479	HOECHST ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel Yeagley	Art Unit 3611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 October 2002.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 - 4, 6, 8 - 10, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chambers '538.

Chambers shows a motorcycle comprising a frame 20, engine transmission assembly shown in figure 5 mounted to the frame having a drive sprocket (not shown) mounted to an output shaft 30c, a rear wheel sprocket 13 mounted to a rear wheel 58 which is mounted to a swingarm 52 having a pivot member 23 interconnecting the swingarm for pivotally mounting the swingarm to at least one of a frame and engine transmission assembly for pivotal movement within a range of motion, such that the pivot axis of the drive sprocket and the pivot axis of the swingarm are non-collinear, the motorcycle includes the claimed feature of a flexible drive member 11 (drive belt) having an upper extent extending between the upper portions of the drive sprocket and wheel sprocket and a lower extent extending between the lower portions of the drive sprocket and the rear wheel sprocket as shown in figure 9 wherein a tensioner (not numbered) comprises a bracket and a roller and wherein a method of the tensioner is fixed to at least one of a frame and engine transmission assembly against both pivotal and translational movement with respect to the output shaft, such that; the belt path length defined by the drive

Art Unit: 3611

sprocket, the rear wheel sprocket and the tensioner remains substantially constant as the swingarm pivots like that of applicants invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chambers '538 in view of Hatsuyama '069.

Chambers shows a swingarm pivotally mounted to the engine/transmission assembly and the frame but failed to show the swingarm pivotally mounted to only an engine transmission assembly. Hatsuyama shows a motorcycle having an engine transmission assembly mounted to the frame, which clearly shows the prior art of a swingarm, mounted only to the engine transmission assembly as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the art of Chambers frame mounted swingarm with the claimed tensioner and alternatively mounted the swingarm to another component such as the engine transmission assembly as taught by Hatsuyama swingarm assembly, simply as an alternative location for mounting the swingarm for pivotal motion of the rear wheel assembly which is well known and old in the art and would have been obvious dependent only upon design choice or user choice of an alternate frame structure such as shown in Hatsuyama utilizing the lower

Art Unit: 3611

portion of the engine transmission assembly where no frame is available to mount the swingarm to the motorcycle.

5. Claim 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chambers '538 in view of Bernard '216.

Chambers clearly shows a tensioner fixedly mounted to the frame and contacts the lower extent of the drive member but failed to show the tensioner fixed only to the engine transmission assembly. Bernard shows a motorcycle having an engine transmission assembly mounted to the frame, which clearly shows the prior art of a belt tensioner, mounted only to the engine transmission assembly as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the art of Chambers frame mounted tensioner and alternately replaced the tensioner on the frame with a belt tensioner mounted to the engine transmission assembly such as taught by Bernard belt tension means as an alternative location for mounting the tensioner to engage the belt for removing slack and retaining tension in a drive belt which is well known and old in the art and would have been obvious dependent only upon design choice and/or structural limitations of the motorcycle components.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as now claimed; wherein the earlier cited reference of Chambers clearly discloses the prior art of a belt tensioner mounted to the frame and engine transmission assembly of a motorcycle that contacts the lower extent of the drive member such as claimed by applicant.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3611

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Pano '015, SUZUKI '967, Houze '624, Belil Creixell '079 (figure 6), Pennell '579, Prince '277 (figure 4), show a fixed belt tensioner in contact with the lower extent of the drive member as now claimed.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Yeagley whose telephone number is 703-305-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri; first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley D Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

D.Y.
January 7, 2003

Lesley D Morris
Lesley D. Morris
Primary Examiner
SP 12 AU3611